ON THE NATURE OF BONDS BETWEEN CARBON ATOMS

INGA FISCHER-HJALMARS

Division of Mathematical Physics, The Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm 70

(Received 16 February 1961)

Abstract-The combined effect of conjugation and van der Waals' interaction has been calculated for carbon sp³ sp³ single bonds of different lengths and for different molecular conformations. The bond shortening effect of conjugation is found to be comparable to that of hybridization. The **rttnctive van der W&s' intcmctlon. on the other hand, is always found IO be small. It seems thus** most improbable that this interaction should be the cause of the planarity of butadiene.

IT seems to be fairly generally recognized that the distance between bonded atoms is **govcrncd by scvcral factors: clcctron dclocaltzation. hybridization. clcctroncgativity. stcric effects, etc. Especially for bonds hctwccn carbon atoms, the clcctroncgativrty diffcrcnccs should bc of minor importance. In a rcccnt paper Bak and Hanscn-Nygaardt (see this paper for rcfcrcnccs IO other rcccnt publications in this field) have** discussed the combined effect of changes in hybridization status and electron delocali**xation on the length of bonds bctuccn carbon atoms. From cxpcrimcntal data fnr** such molecules, where the bond distance is essentially determined by hybridization, **atomic covalent radii can be derived. Bak et al.¹ suggest the following values of** single bond, covalent radii of carbon: $r_c(sp^2) = 0.7723$, $r_c(sp^2) = 0.7587$, $r_c(sp) =$ **0.7333. In the prcunt note 3 few data in support of this assignment will bc discussed and the combined cticct of electron dclocaliration. i.c. conjugation, and stcric rc**pulsion will be considered.

Table 1 gives the experimental values of the length of the sp^2-sp^2 single bond, **connecting IWO n-clccfron cystcms. and of the twist angle around this bond.**

It has been suggested previously by Adrian³ that experimental values of the twist angle in similar molecules depend on a minimum of the potential curve (energy vs. **angle), obtained from the combined cffcct of conjugation and steric repulsion bctwccn the IWO parts of the molcculc. connccfcd by the single C-C bond. The present**

⁹ A. Almenningen, O. Bastiansen, and M. Tratteberg. Acta Chem. Scand 12, 1221 (1958).

⁸ A. Almenningen, O. Bastiansen and P. N. Skancke, Acta Chem. Scand. 12, 1215 (1958); private communi**catloo by Stanctc. *F J Adnan.** *J. Chrm Phvr 28.608* **(1958)**

¹ B. Bak and L. Hansen-Nygaard, *J. Chem. Phys.* 33, 418 (1960).

³ A. Almenningen and O. Bastiansen, Kgl. Norske *Vid. Selsk. Skr.* No 4 (1958).

author would like to modify this suggestion slightly by considering the combined effect of conjugation and a more general van der Waals' interaction. Moreover, it is suggested that a correlation could be found between the depth of this potential minimum and the length of the bond around which the molecule is twisted.

In order to find this correlation one must know the angular dependence of the van der Waals' interaction and of the energy of conjugation across the single bond. The van der Waals' interaction between molecules has been extensively investigated and can be computed according to different, more or less accurate formulae.⁶ These formulae may be modified to be applicable to intra-molecular van der Waals' interaction, giving at least the correct order of magnitude. Hill? has, however, derived a special formula for the intra-molecular case, which seems to give the most accurate estimate of this effect. In the present study only the van der Waals' interaction between

Substance	Twist angle (conformation)	van der Waals'. interaction	Conjugation energy	Combined effect
Butadiene	$(trans)$ (min) 0	010	90	-91
	90	0 O _x	$\mathbf 0$. 0.1
	180° (cis)	$+118$ ÷	95	-83
Biphenyl	$\bf{0}$	$+1.49$	39	24
	24 (m ₁)	.023	-33	-30
	42	010	22	2.3
	90	004	$\bf{0}$	0 ₀
Hexaphenyl				
benzene.	90	0.25	0	0 ₂
antipropeller	80 (m ₁)	0 ₃₂	07	-10
model	70	$+17.51$	27	-148

TABLE 2. COMBINED EFFECT OF CONJUGATION AND STERIC FORCES. **ENFRGIEVIN kcal mole**

the hydrogen atoms of the two parts of the molecule has been investigated. In the cases of butadiene and biphenyl it is obvious from a study of steric models of these molecules that the H- H interactions are the only important ones. In the case of hexaphenyl benzene this is also true for the "antipropeller" model $(cf.4)$ but not so for the "propeller" model, where steric interaction between the carbon rings is important. Because of the difficulty in obtaining parameter values for this kind of interaction, only the "antipropeller" model has been studied at present.

The estimate of the angular dependence of the conjugation energy is considerably more difficult. This question has been discussed in detail by Adrian,⁵ who has developed a method for this estimate, based on the valence bond treatment. He has also applied his method to a number of molecules, including biphenyl. Furthermore, the general interaction of two conjugated systems has been investigated by Longuet-Higgins and Murrell^{*} on the basis of the molecular orbital method. They have applied their method inter alia to butadiene and biphenyl, but only to the extreme

^{*} J. O. Hirchfelder, C. F. Curtiss and R. B. Bird, Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids. John Wiley, New York (1954).

¹ T. L. Hill, J. Chem. Phis. 16, 399 (1948).

⁶ H. C. Longuet-Higgins and J. N. Murrell, Proc. Phys. Soc. 68, 601 (1955).

casts of either coplanar or perpendicular conjugated systems. On the basis of their method the present author has made a rough estimate of the energy in intermediate cases. The details of this method and a comparison with Adrian's method will be **published in a forthcoming paper, whcrc all details of the prcscnt calculations WIII be** given. The results of these calculations are listed in Table 2.

A few comments should bc made on the value\ of Table 2. The values of conjugation energy are taken from theoretical calculations of electronic spectra.⁸ These values are not always in accordance with conjugation energy values determined more directly from empirical data.⁹ In the case of butadiene for instance, the "empirical" **value is only half as large as the theoretical enc. Hcncc. it IS not advisable to try to** find a correlation between bond length and potential minimum by aid of the values of Table 2 only. Nevertheless, these two quantities are listed together in Table 3.

The only comment that at present could be made on the values of Table 3 is that the deeper potential minima correspond to the shorter bond distances and that the bond shortening effect of conjugation seems to be of a magnitude comparable to that **of hyhridiration.**

⁸ G. W. Wheland, *Resonance in Organic Chemistry*. John Wilcy, New York (1955)